## WATER QUALITY MEMORANDUM

Utah Coal Regulatory Program

August 26, 2005

TO:	Internal File		
THRU:	D. Wayne Hedberg, Permit Supervisor		
FROM:	Dana Dean, P.E., Senior Reclamation Hydrologist		
RE:	2004 Third Quarter Water Monitoring, Savage Indu Terminal, C/007/0022, Task #2228	stries, Inc., Sa	vage Coal
	submitted for all of the MRP required sites?  fy sites not monitored and reason why, if known:	YES 🖂	NO 🗌
The P	ermit only requires UPDES data for the third quarter.		
See T year b does r	date does the MRP require a five-year resampling echnical Directive 004 for baseline resampling require aseline resubmittal when responding to question one not have such a requirement.	ements. Consi	der the five
Resampling			
There	is no commitment in the MRP to resample for baseli	ne parameters.	
	required parameters reported for each site? nents, including identity of monitoring site:	YES 🖂	NO 🗌
The P	ermit only requires UPDES data for the third quarter.		
	gularities found in the data? nents, including identity of monitoring site:	YES 🗌	NO 🗵

Page 2 C/007/0022-WQ04-3 Task ID #2228 August 26, 2005

5.	. Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?				
		1 <sup>st</sup> month, 2 <sup>nd</sup> month, 3 <sup>rd</sup> month,	YES ⊠ YES ⊠ YES ⊠	NO  \Boxed NO  \Boxed NO  \Boxed \Boxed NO  \Boxed B	
6.	Were all required DMR parameters reported Comments, including identity of monitoring		YES 🖂	NO 🗌	
	All DMR's reported "No Flow."				
7.	Were irregularities found in the DMR data?  Comments, including identity of monitoring	; site:	YES	NO 🗵	
	All DMR's reported "No Flow."				
8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?					
	No further actions are required at this time.				
sm O:\	,007022.SAV\WATER QUALITY\DDWO 04-3 2228.DOC				